(SRI B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA).

and all energies are being put in to bring about unification of laws as expeditiously as possible.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—Will the question of adopting the old Mysore laws be considered ?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—No doubt this will be considered.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—May I know whether the Government will consider the question of constituting a committee of legal experts to examine the various laws instead of entrusting this work to the Departments ?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—Appointment of committee is not the only method, but whenever necessary, committees and commissions will be appointed.

Sri M. RAMAPPA.—There is a lot of difference between the Bombay Prohibition Act and the Mysore Prohibition Act.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is a matter of detail.

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—If detailed information is required, I will require notice.

Sri M. RAMAPPA.—Is it the intention of the Government to unify the Prohibition laws of Bombay and Mysore ?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—Uniformity wlll be brought about in regard to all laws because there cannot be different areas in the same State having different laws. The basic idea is to have uniformity through out the State in the matter of laws.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಹಳೆಯ ಮೈಸೂರು ಮತ್ತು ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಸೇರಿರತಕ್ಕ ಪ್ರದೇಶಗಳ ಕಾನೂನುಗಳನ್ನು ಸಮನ್ವಯ ಮಾಡುವುದರಲ್ಲಿ ಇನ್ನೂ ಎಷ್ಟು ಕಾನೂನುಗಳು ಸಮನ್ವಯವಾಗಬೇಕು ?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—I find it a little difficult to comprehend my friend fully. As I have already stated, out of the laws we have so far examined and studied, the laws that require unification number 600.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question time is over.

QUESTION FOR ANSWER ON THE DAY

(but not taken up).

Tarring of Channarayapatna-Tiptur Road.

Q.—121. Sri N. G. NARASIMHE GOWDA (Sravanabelagola).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the date on which the estimate was prepared in respect of the tarring of the Channarayapatna-Tiptur road and the reasons for not sanctioning the same so far ;

(*b*) whether they are aware of the existence of Government and private mines which cause heavier traffic on that road than on any other first grade road ;

(*c*) the reasons for not including this work in the Second Five-Year Plan ;

(*d*) whether they intend to accord sanction and to include that work in the Second Five-Year Plan ?

A.—Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH (Minister for Public Works).—

(*a*) No estimate for the work has been prepared.

(*b*) Part of the road is subject to seasonal heavy traffic on account of the existence of mines, but it cannot be said that the traffic is heavier than on other first grade roads.

(*c*) Low priority and limited funds.

(*d*) No.

BUDGET FOR 1957-58—DEMANDS FOR GRANTS

Procedure.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Before we proceed with the general discussion of the Demands and the Cut Motions, I would like to make a brief statement for the consideration of the House.

According to clause (2) of article 203 of the Constitution, so much of the estimates as relate to expenditure other than expenditure charged upon the